

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	RY (CI)		
]	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0250829 DAT	E: <u>1/13/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:27 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:45 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CONT. FL COUNTYLINE READY-MIX PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION:	13791 NW 186 STRI	EET			
	MIAMI 33015				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JACK RAIMONDI PHONE: (954)858-0788					
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	D: 3/17/2007 / 3/16/20	012			
	(effective date) (end date	*)			
PART I: INSPECTION (COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check ☑ only one box)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
			~		
(check □ appropriate		<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A	A.C.		
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emissi		this site visit according to EPA Met	hod 9 (Ref.: Chapter 		
2. Are emissions from	n silos, weigh hoppers (batch	hers), and other enclosed storage an	d conveying equipment		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
		operation controlled by the silo du uestions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answ			
skip 4.a) and 4.b) a	nd continue on to question 5	5.)			
b) During the visib	ble emissions test, was the ba	atching rate representative of the no			
5. If emissions from the	he weigh hopper (batcher) o	peration are controlled by a dust co	llector, which is separate		
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?					

ART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?
ART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.
ART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Ø only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		1		
The confined Emissions (Dula 62 206 220(4)(a) E.A.C.)		1		
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take in the concrete	rescensible pressutions to control unconfined			
emissions by:	easonable precautions to control uncommed	ĺ		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yare	ds which shall include one or more of the following:	Î		
	k piles, and yards? Yes No	0		
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-sup				
emissions?	Yes □ No	о		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa		Ī		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to re	educe airborne particulate matter?	О		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind		Î		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate er	nissions at the drop point to the truck?	0		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Ru	de 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?	UYes UN	No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without repla		No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially difference	ant than that noted on the most	T		
recent notification form?		10		
 d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the own notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, I 				
local program office?	AC) to the appropriate DEF of	No		
iocai program office:		10		
FRANK DELGADO	1/13/2010			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
hispector's rvaine (1 lease 1 tint)	Date of hispection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
hispotion is significant	Approximate Bate of French Inspection			
COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS CLOSED/ OUT OF BUSINESS	S. THE COMPANY IS IN THE PROCESS OF			

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS CLOSED/ OUT OF BUSINESS. THE COMPANY IS IN THE PROCESS OF DISMANTLING THE READY MIX PLANT. THE MAIN OFFICE WILL SEND US A LETTER TO REQUEST THE CLOSING OF ALL AIR PERMITS.